

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

vaaress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATER 12	
	P.O. Box 1450	
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
	www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 10/029,158 12/21/2001 Nam P. Suh A-69175-1/MSS 5210 04/13/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP RACHUBA, MAURINA T **Suite 3400** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Four Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4187 3723 DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{M}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	94
	10/029,159	DEEDS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M Rachuba	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 3</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-9,12 and 16-20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 16-20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2002 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) △ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in A prity documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	зде
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/029,159 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 7 and 8 depend from canceled claim 5, the scope of the claims cannot be determined. It is assumed that these claims depend from claim 1.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 1-4, 6-9 and 12 provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-4, 6-9 and 12 of copending Application No. 09/628,563. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

5. Claims of this application conflict with claims 1-4, 6-9 and 12 of Application No.

37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant

04/628,563

4/4/60

Application/Control Number: 10/029,159

Art Unit: 3723

contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 16-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's response has overcome the previous rejections.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other similar devices are cited of interest.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Rachuba whose telephone number is (703) 308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiries concerning other than the content of this and previous communications, such as missing references or filed papers not acknowledged, should be directed to the Customer Service Representative, Tech Center 3700, (703) 306-5648.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9302.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,159 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

M. RACHUBA PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 3723

mtr April 6, 2004